VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

Ms. Jeni Decker-Lopez

RE: FOIA Appeal 2018-042

Dear Ms. Decker-Lopez:

This letter responds to the administrative appeal you submitted to the Mayor under the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act, D.C. Official Code § 2-537 ("DC FOIA"). In your appeal, you assert that the Metropolitan Police Department ("MPD") improperly withheld records you requested from MPD under DC FOIA.

Background

On September 11, 2017, you submitted a request to MPD for records related to an unsolved 1981 homicide. On November 13, 2017, MPD granted your request in part, releasing a press release, and denied your request in part, withholding its investigative documents on the basis that the records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)(A)(i) ("Exemption 3(A)(i)") because disclosure of the investigatory records compiled for law enforcement purposes would interfere with enforcement proceedings. MPD’s denial indicated that the unsolved homicide case is considered an open investigation. Additionally, MPD stated that disclosure of its investigative records would impede enforcement efforts by enabling witnesses or suspects to conform future testimony based on the facts in the investigative records.

On appeal, you challenge MPD’s denial of your FOIA request, declaring that 36 years have passed since the crime occurred, and it does not appear that MPD has publicly demonstrated activity in the case in over ten years. Further, you argue that disclosure of investigative records can help to uncover leads and solve cold cases, citing podcasts and articles. Finally, you request that MPD conduct a document-by-document review to determine whether certain documents can be disclosed.

On November 29, 2017, MPD responded to your appeal in a letter to this Office in which it reasserted its position that the records are protected from disclosure by Exemption 3(A)(i). In support of this position, MPD proffered that its investigation into the murder is ongoing and that release of the requested records could adversely affect MPD’s enforcement efforts by informing any suspects or witnesses on the direction of the investigation and enabling them to conform

---

1 MPD’s response is attached for your reference.
testimony to escape culpability. MPD’s response also described the categories of withheld documents, claiming that disclosure of any of the records could impede its enforcement efforts.

Discussion

It is the public policy of the District of Columbia government that “all persons are entitled to full and complete information regarding the affairs of government and the official acts of those who represent them as public officials and employees.” D.C. Official Code § 2-531. In aid of that policy, DC FOIA creates the right “to inspect … and … copy any public record of a public body . . .” Id. at § 2-532(a). The right to examine public records is subject to various exemptions that may form the basis of a denial of a request. Id. at § 2-534.


Exemption 3(A)(i) protects from disclosure investigatory records that are compiled for law enforcement purposes and whose disclosure would interfere with enforcement proceedings. The purpose of the exemption is to prevent “the release of information in investigatory files prior to the completion of an actual, contemplated enforcement proceeding.” National Labor Relations Bd. v. Robbins Tire & Rubber Co., 437 U.S. 124, 232 (1978). “[S]o long as the investigation continues to gather evidence for a possible future criminal case, and that case would be jeopardized by the premature release of the evidence, [the investigatory record exemption] applies.” See Fraternal Order of Police, Metro. Labor Comm. v. D.C., 82 A.3d 803, 815 (D.C. 2014) (internal quotation and citation omitted). Conversely, when an agency fails to establish that the documents sought relate to an ongoing investigation or would jeopardize a future law enforcement proceeding, the investigatory records exemption does not protect the agency’s decision. Id.

On appeal, you argue that due to the age of the case, responsive records should be disclosed to bring attention and new leads to the case. The records you seek here were compiled for the law enforcement purpose of investigating a homicide, and MPD has asserted that the criminal investigation pertaining to the homicide is ongoing. As a result, MPD has met the threshold requirements for invoking Exemption 3(A)(i), and our analysis turns on whether disclosure would interfere with enforcement proceedings.

Your belief that the case is cold does not overcome the purpose of Exemption 3(A)(i), which is to protect releasing investigatory details that could interfere with law enforcement efforts. See Dickerson v. DOJ, 992 F.2d 1426, 1432 (6th Cir. 1993) (finding that an investigation into 1975 disappearance remained ongoing and therefore was still “prospective” law enforcement proceeding.) MPD maintains that disclosing the records you requested could reveal the direction of its ongoing investigation and allow suspects to avoid detection, arrest, and prosecution. In
light of the statutory purpose of Exemption 3(A)(i), we find that MPD properly withheld from disclosure the investigatory records you requested.2

Conclusion

Based on the foregoing, we affirm MPD’s decision and hereby dismiss your appeal.

This constitutes the final decision of this Office. If you are dissatisfied with this decision, you may commence a civil action against the District of Columbia government in the Superior Court of the District of Columbia in accordance with the DC FOIA.

Sincerely,

The Mayor’s Office of Legal Counsel

cc: Ronald B. Harris, Deputy General Counsel, MPD (via email)

2 Although MPD’s application of Exemption 3(A)(i) is appropriate, we note that this exemption, like others, is discretionary. Due to the age of the case, MPD may determine that the benefits of disclosure outweigh the potential harm to the ongoing law enforcement proceeding. MPD, as the agency responsible for the ongoing investigation, is in the best position to assess the potential impact of disclosure. Therefore, MPD may elect to disclose or continue to withhold its investigative records related to the unsolved homicide.