

---

# Contract Appeals Board

<http://cab.dc.gov>

Telephone: 202-727-6597

---

Description	FY 2013 Actual	FY 2014 Approved	FY 2015 Proposed	% Change from FY 2014
Operating Budget	\$1,040,820	\$1,059,490	\$1,126,098	6.3
FTEs	8.0	8.0	8.0	0.0

---

The mission of the Contract Appeals Board (CAB) is to provide an impartial, expeditious, inexpensive, and knowledgeable forum for the hearing and resolving of contractual disputes, protests, debarments, and suspensions involving the District and its contracting communities.

## Summary of Services

The Contract Appeals Board adjudicates protests of District contract solicitations and awards, appeals by contractors of District contracting officer final decisions on disputes or claims under the Quick Payment Act, claims by the District against contractors, and appeals by contractors of suspensions and debarments.

The agency's FY 2015 proposed budget is presented in the following tables:

## FY 2015 Proposed Gross Funds Operating Budget, by Revenue Type

Table AF0-1 contains the proposed FY 2015 agency budget compared to the FY 2014 approved budget. It also provides FY 2012 and FY 2013 actual expenditures.

**Table AF0-1**  
(dollars in thousands)

Appropriated Fund	Actual FY 2012	Actual FY 2013	Approved FY 2014	Proposed FY 2015	Change from FY 2014	Percent Change*
<b>General Fund</b>						
Local Funds	835	1,041	1,059	1,126	67	6.3
<b>Total for General Fund</b>	<b>835</b>	<b>1,041</b>	<b>1,059</b>	<b>1,126</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>6.3</b>
<b>Gross Funds</b>	<b>835</b>	<b>1,041</b>	<b>1,059</b>	<b>1,126</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>6.3</b>

\*Percent change is based on whole dollars.

**Note:** If applicable, for a breakdown of each Grant (Federal and Private), Special Purpose Revenue type and Intra-District agreement, please refer to **Schedule 80 Agency Summary by Revenue Source** in the **FY 2015 Operating Appendices** located on the Office of the Chief Financial Officer's website.

## FY 2015 Proposed Full-Time Equivalents, by Revenue Type

Table AF0-2 contains the proposed FY 2015 FTE level compared to the FY 2014 approved FTE level by revenue type. It also provides FY 2012 and FY 2013 actual data.

**Table AF0-2**

Appropriated Fund	Actual FY 2012	Actual FY 2013	Approved FY 2014	Proposed FY 2015	Change from FY 2014	Percent Change
<b>General Fund</b>						
Local Funds	6.1	8.0	8.0	8.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Total for General Fund</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>8.0</b>	<b>8.0</b>	<b>8.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
<b>Total Proposed FTEs</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>8.0</b>	<b>8.0</b>	<b>8.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>

## FY 2015 Proposed Operating Budget, by Comptroller Source Group

Table AF0-3 contains the proposed FY 2015 budget at the Comptroller Source Group (object class) level compared to the FY 2014 approved budget. It also provides FY 2012 and FY 2013 actual expenditures.

**Table AF0-3**  
(dollars in thousands)

Comptroller Source Group	Actual FY 2012	Actual FY 2013	Approved FY 2014	Proposed FY 2015	Change from FY 2014	Percent Change*
11 - Regular Pay - Continuing Full Time	217	326	378	347	-32	-8.3
12 - Regular Pay - Other	444	521	470	525	55	11.6
14 - Fringe Benefits - Current Personnel	107	141	177	186	9	5.2
<b>Subtotal Personal Services (PS)</b>	<b>769</b>	<b>989</b>	<b>1,025</b>	<b>1,058</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>3.1</b>
20 - Supplies and Materials	13	13	3	13	10	375.0
31 - Telephone, Telegraph, Telegram, Etc.	8	11	6	8	2	34.9
40 - Other Services and Charges	14	10	12	25	13	101.8
41 - Contractual Services - Other	12	12	9	13	5	53.8
70 - Equipment and Equipment Rental	20	7	5	10	5	111.1
<b>Subtotal Nonpersonal Services (NPS)</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>101.1</b>
<b>Gross Funds</b>	<b>835</b>	<b>1,041</b>	<b>1,059</b>	<b>1,126</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>6.3</b>

\*Percent change is based on whole dollars.

### Program Description

The Contract Appeals Board operates through the following 2 programs:

**Adjudication** – the Contract Appeals Board adjudicates protests of District contract solicitations and awards, appeals by contractors of District contracting officer final decisions, claims by the District against contractors, appeals by contractors of suspensions and debarments, and contractor appeals of interest payment claims under the Quick Payment Act.

**Contract Appeals Board (Agency Management)** – provides for administrative support and the required tools to achieve operational and programmatic results. This program is standard for all agencies using performance-based budgeting.

### Program Structure Change

The Contract Appeals Board has no program structure changes in the FY 2015 proposed budget.

## FY 2015 Proposed Operating Budget and FTEs, by Program and Activity

Table AF0-4 contains the proposed FY 2015 budget by program and activity compared to the FY 2014 approved budget. It also provides the FY 2013 actual data.

**Table AF0-4**  
(dollars in thousands)

Program/Activity	Dollars in Thousands				Full-Time Equivalents			
	Actual FY 2013	Approved FY 2014	Proposed FY 2015	Change from FY 2014	Actual FY 2013	Approved FY 2014	Proposed FY 2015	Change from FY 2014
<b>(1000) Contract Appeals Board</b>								
(1010) Personnel	0	6	9	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
(1030) Property Management	3	1	1	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
(1090) Performance Management	253	191	198	7	3.0	1.0	1.0	0.0
<b>Subtotal (1000) Contract Appeals Board</b>	<b>257</b>	<b>197</b>	<b>208</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
<b>(2000) Adjudication</b>								
(2001) Adjudication	784	862	918	56	5.0	7.0	7.0	0.0
<b>Subtotal (2000) Adjudication</b>	<b>784</b>	<b>862</b>	<b>918</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
<b>Total Proposed Operating Budget</b>	<b>1,041</b>	<b>1,059</b>	<b>1,126</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>8.0</b>	<b>8.0</b>	<b>8.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>

(Change is calculated by whole numbers and numbers may not add up due to rounding)

**Note:** For more detailed information regarding the proposed funding for the activities within this agency's programs, please see **Schedule 30-PBB Program Summary by Activity** in the **FY 2015 Operating Appendices** located on the Office of the Chief Financial Officer's website.

### FY 2015 Proposed Budget Changes

The Contract Appeals Board's (CAB) proposed FY 2015 gross budget is \$1,126,098, which represents a 6.3 percent increase over its FY 2014 approved gross budget of \$1,059,490. The budget is comprised entirely of Local funds.

### Current Services Funding Level

The Current Services Funding Level (CSFL) is a Local funds ONLY representation of the true cost of operating District agencies, before consideration of policy decisions. The CSFL reflects changes from the FY 2014 approved budget across multiple programs, and it estimates how much it would cost an agency to continue its current programs and operations into the following fiscal year. The FY 2015 CSFL adjustments to the FY 2014 Local funds budget are described in table 5 of this agency's budget chapter. Please see the CSFL Development section within Volume 1: Executive Summary for more information regarding the methodology used and components that comprise the CSFL.

CAB's FY 2015 CSFL budget is \$1,126,098, which represents a \$66,608, or 6.3 percent increase over the FY 2014 approved Local funds budget of \$1,059,490.

### CSFL Assumptions

The FY 2015 CSFL calculated for CAB included adjustment entries that are not described in detail on table 5. These adjustments were made for increases of \$66,131 in personal services to account for Fringe Benefit costs based on trend and comparative analyses and the impact of cost-of-living adjustments implemented in FY 2013, and \$477 in nonpersonal services based on the Consumer Price Index factor of 2.4 percent.

### Agency Budget Submission

**Increase:** CAB's FY 2015 proposed budget includes a net increase of \$34,606 in nonpersonal services to support projected supplies and contract-related costs due to increased adjudication services. This increase includes an allocation of \$30,370 in the Adjudication program and \$4,236 in the Control Appeals Board program.

**Decrease:** The FY 2015 Local funds budget reflects a net decrease of \$34,606 in personal services, primarily in the Adjudication program, as an offset to the increase in nonpersonal services.

### Mayor's Proposed Budget

**No Change:** The Contract Appeals Board's budget proposal reflects no change from the agency budget submission to the Mayor's proposed budget.

---

## FY 2014 Approved Budget to FY 2015 Proposed Budget, by Revenue Type

Table AF0-5 itemizes the changes by revenue type between the FY 2014 approved budget and the FY 2015 proposed budget.

**Table AF0-5**  
(dollars in thousands)

DESCRIPTION	PROGRAM	BUDGET	FTE
<b>LOCAL FUNDS: FY 2014 Approved Budget and FTE</b>		<b>1,059</b>	<b>8.0</b>
Other CSFL Adjustments	Multiple Programs	67	0.0
<b>LOCAL FUNDS: FY 2015 Current Services Funding Level Budget (CSFL)</b>		<b>1,126</b>	<b>8.0</b>
Increase: To align funding with nonpersonal services costs	Multiple Programs	34	0.0
Decrease: To adjust personal services	Multiple Programs	-34	0.0
<b>LOCAL FUNDS: FY 2015 Agency Budget Submission</b>		<b>1,126</b>	<b>8.0</b>
No Change		0	0.0
<b>LOCAL FUNDS: FY 2015 Mayor's Proposed Budget</b>		<b>1,126</b>	<b>8.0</b>
<b>Gross for AF0 - Contract Appeals Board</b>		<b>1,126</b>	<b>8.0</b>

(Change is calculated by whole numbers and numbers may not add up due to rounding)

## Agency Performance Plan

The agency's performance plan has the following objectives for FY 2015:

**Objective 1:** Promote public confidence in the integrity of the procurement process through timely, efficient, and legally correct adjudication of disputes and protests.

**Objective 2:** Enhance the Board's ability to efficiently and inexpensively manage and adjudicate cases.

**Objective 3:** Educate government and private contracting parties on resolving disputes through alternative dispute resolution methods.

---

### KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

Measure	FY 2012 Actual	FY 2013 Target	FY 2013 Actual	FY 2014 Projection	FY 2015 Projection	FY 2016 Projection
Percent of protests resolved within 60 business days	89%	90%	96%	96%	96%	100%
Percent of appeals cases decided within 4 months of the cases being ready for decision	91%	90%	79%	90%	90%	90%
Percent of new cases using electronic filing system	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Percent of decisions sustained on appeal	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Percent of cases closed by the Board in the current fiscal year that are electronically archived to permit web-based retrieval and full-text searching capability	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Percent of pending cases that are less than 3 years old	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	95%	100%	100%